

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/696,663	10/25/2000	Henry N. Holtzman	PRT-004	4174
21323 7	7590 01/14/2003			
•			EXAMINER	
125 HIGH STI			WINTER, JOHN M	
BOSTON, MA	. 02110		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			/MC1 01111	
			3621	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		09/696,663	HOLTZMAN ET AL.
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		John M Winter	3621
Period fo			
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Is ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by signly received by the Office later than three months after the modern patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days are will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tatute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·	
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.	
3) Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice un on of Claims		
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the applica	ation.	
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.	
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected.		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.	
Applicati	on Papers		
9) 🔲 -	Γhe specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.	
10) 🔲 🗆	Γhe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)∏ a	ccepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Exa	miner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection t	o the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) 🔲 🛚	The proposed drawing correction filed on $_$	is: a)□ approved b)□ disappro	oved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in	• •	
12) 🔲 🗆	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		
	1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received in Applicati	ion No
	 Copies of the certified copies of the paper application from the International ee the attached detailed Office action for a 	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-
14)∐ A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	estic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
	☐ The translation of the foreign language cknowledgment is made of a claim for dom (s)		
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summan	(PTO 413) Danar Na/a)
2) Notice	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) 🔀 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No	(s) .1,5 . 6) Other:	
S. Patent and Tr. TO-326 (Rev		e Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 6

Application/Control Number: 09/696,663

Art Unit: 3621

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-17 have been examined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Janning et al. (US patent 6,446,049) in view of Elliot (US Patent 6,366,220).

As per claim 1,

Janning et al. ('049) discloses a computer-based method for selling an item to a customer at a retail location, the method comprising the steps of

receiving at the retail location an identifier associated with a token presented by a customer;(Column 8, lines 20-28)

accessing customer data based on the identifier; (Column 9, lines 31-40) preparing the identified customer order at the retail location; (Figure 1)

identifying in the customer data a preferred payment method for the customer; (Column 9, lines 31-40)

executing payment by the customer for the selected order by the preferred payment method;(Column 9, lines 59-67; column 10, lines 1-32)

providing the customer with the selected order at the retail location.(Column 10, lines 35-38)

Janning et al. ('049) does not explicitly disclose identifying in the customer data a product order selected by the customer. Elliot ('220) discloses identifying in the customer data a product order selected by the customer (column 5, lines 30-45) It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Janning et al.'s method with Elliot's teaching of identifying in the customer data a product order selected by the customer in order to more efficiently serve the customer.

As per claim 2,

Janning et al. ('049) discloses the method of claim 1,

wherein the token comprises an RFID tag, and step (a) comprises wirelessly reading a RFID tag presented by the customer. (Column 6, lines 15-42; also figure 1)

As per claim 3,

Janning et al. ('049) discloses the method of claim 2,

.. Application/Control Number: 09/696,663

Art Unit: 3621

wherein the steps are performed in response to a single user action, the single user action comprising presenting the RFID tag to an RFID reader located in the retail location. (Column 8, lines 1-52, also figure 3)

As per claim 4,

Janning et al. ('049) discloses the method of claim 1,

Official notice is taken that it is old and well know in the art of selling an item to a customer at a retail location that the token comprises a magnetic stripe card, and step (a) comprises reading a magnetic stripe card presented by a customer to a magnetic stripe reader. It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to utilize a magnetic card and reader because this is an inexpensive well known method of conducting commerce. The examiner notes that this technique is commonly utilized by any credit or ATM card.

As per claim 5,

Janning et al. ('049) discloses the method of claim 4,

Official notice is taken that it is old and well know in the art of selling an item to a customer at a retail location that the steps are performed in response to a single user action, the single user action comprising presenting the magnetic stripe card to a customer accessible magnetic stripe card reader located in the retail location. It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that a single user action of presenting a magnetic stripe card to a card reader at a retail location would occur because this is an inexpensive and well known method of conducting commerce. The examiner notes that this technique is commonly utilized by any credit or ATM card.

As per claim 6,

Janning et al. ('049) discloses the method of claim 1,

Janning et al. ('049) does not explicitly disclose the steps of facilitating customer selection of a product order; and associating the customer selected product order with the customer. Elliot ('220) discloses the steps of facilitating customer selection of a product order; (Column 4, lines 55-58) and associating the customer selected product order with the customer. (column 5, lines 24-33) It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Janning et al.'s method with Elliot's teaching of facilitating customer selection of a product order and associating the customer selected product order with the customer in order to more efficiently serve the customer.

As per claim 7,

Janning et al. ('049) discloses the method of claim 6,

Janning et al. ('049) does not explicitly disclose facilitating customer selection via the Internet. Elliot ('220) discloses facilitating customer selection via the Internet; (Figure 5) It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Janning et al.'s method with Elliot's teaching of facilitating customer selection via the Internet because the internet is an inexpensive and efficient medium to reach the customer.

.. Application/Control Number: 09/696,663

Art Unit: 3621

As per claim 8,

Janning et al. ('049) discloses the method of claim 6,

Official notice is taken that it is old and well know in the art of selling an item to a customer at a retail location to facilitate customer selection at a terminal located in the retail location. It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to facilitate customer selection at a terminal located in the retail location because this allows the customer to select items from the immediate inventory of the store and prevents aggravation caused by the necessity to order items.

As per claim 9,

Janning et al. ('049) discloses the method of claim 1,

Janning et al. ('049) does not explicitly disclose accessing customer data over the Internet. Elliot ('220) discloses accessing customer data over the Internet; (Column 5, lines 30-40) It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Janning et al.'s method with Elliot's teaching of accessing customer data over the Internet because reduces the overhead cost of maintaining the store by reducing the number of database servers needed to complete a transaction

As per claim 10,

Janning et al. ('049) discloses the method of claim 1,

Janning et al. ('049) does not explicitly disclose accessing customer data over a local area network. Elliot ('220) discloses accessing customer data over a local area network; (Column 5, lines 30-40) It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Janning et al.'s method with Elliot's teaching of accessing customer data over a local area network because reduces the overhead cost of maintaining the store by reducing the number of database servers needed to complete a transaction

As per claim 11,

Janning et al. ('049) discloses the method of claim 1,

Janning et al. ('049) does not explicitly disclose accessing customer data from a local database. Elliot ('220) discloses accessing customer data from a local database. (Column 5, lines 30-45) It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Janning et al.'s method with Elliot's teaching of accessing customer data from a local database because increased the reliability of the system by allowing information to be accessible even if the network is inoperable.

As per claim 12,

Janning et al. ('049) discloses the method of claim 1,

Official notice is taken that it is old and well know in the art of selling an item to a customer at a retail location to access customer data from a database operated from a third party service provider. It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to access customer data from a database operated from a third party service provider because this is a cost effective way to verify the credentials of customer. The examiner notes that this feature is routinely provided by credit rating services such as Equifax.

Application/Control Number: 09/696,663

Art Unit: 3621

As per claim 13,

Janning et al. ('049) discloses the method of claim 1,

Janning et al. ('049) does not explicitly disclose providing payment information to a POS device for execution. Elliot ('220) discloses providing payment information to a POS device for execution. (Column 9, lines 27-39) It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Janning et al.'s method with Elliot's teaching of providing payment information to a POS device for execution because this allows the merchant to realize a profit on the transaction

As per claim 14,

Janning et al. ('049) discloses the method of claim 1,

Official notice is taken that it is old and well know in the art of selling an item to a customer at a retail location to process payment and provide an execution code indicating complete payment to a POS device. It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to process payment and provide an execution code indicating complete payment to a POS device because this allows the merchant to realize a profit on the transaction. The examiner notes that this feature is common to a majority POS systems.

As per claim 15,

Janning et al. ('049) discloses the method of claim 1,

Official notice is taken that it is old and well know in the art of selling an item to a customer at a retail location to obtain a count value associated with the token and if the count value is greater than zero, decrementing the count value. It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to obtain a count value associated with the token and if the count value is greater than zero, decrement the count value because this encourages the customer loyalty. The examiner notes that this feature is common to promotional sales programs where the customer is "rewarded" after a certain number of purchases are made.

Claims 16-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Elliot (US Patent 6,366,220) in view of Janning et al. (US patent 6,446,049).

As per claim 16,

Elliot ('220) discloses a system for selling an item at a retail location, comprising the steps of

a dispatch module for accessing customer data based on the identifier, and for identifying in the customer data a selected customer order and a preferred payment method; (Column 5, lines 24-40)

a display for displaying the selected customer order at the retail location to a retail clerk; (Column 9, lines 10-18; also figure 7)

a POS device for executing payment by the customer for the selected customer order by the preferred payment method.(Column 9, lines 27-39)

Elliot ('220) does not explicitly disclose a token reader for receiving at the retail location an identifier associated with token presented by the customer. Janning et al. ('049) discloses a

. Application/Control Number: 09/696,663

Art Unit: 3621

token reader for receiving at the retail location an identifier associated with token presented by the customer. (Figure 16) It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Elliot's device with Janning's teaching of a token reader for receiving at the retail location an identifier associated with token presented by the customer in order to more efficiently serve the customer.

As per claim 17,

Elliot ('220) discloses the system of claim 16

further comprising a database server in communication with the dispatch module over a computer network for providing customer data in response to a request comprising an identifier.(Column 5, lines 25-40)

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John M Winter whose telephone number is (703) 305-3971. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-6, 1st Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James P Trammell can be reached on (703) 305-9768. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-7687 for regular communications and (703) 305-7687 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

JMW January 10, 2003

JAMES D' TRAMMELL
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600